Page 10

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 are present in this application. Claims 14-29 have been added. Claims 1, 4,

13, 17, and 29 are independent.

Claim Rejection – 35 U.S.C. § 103; Shirakura, Waibel

Claims 1-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent 6,464,358 (Shirakura) in view of U.S. Application 2003/0164819 (Waibel). Independent

claims 1 and 4 have been amended. Applicants traverse this rejection based on the claims as

amended.

In embodiments of the present invention, parallax information is determined based on an

approximation using standard distances (present specification at page 10). Embodiments are

disclosed that determine parallax information based on a distance to a subject input by an

operation key 204 (present specification at page 11), based on an image's brightness, or intensity

of a reflection, in order to obtain parallax information for blocks of the image (present

specification at pages 12 and 13, respectively).

In embodiments of the present invention, after parallax information is determined based

on the subject, the parallax information is imparted to the image in order to create a three

dimensional image (see the paragraph at page 10, lines 8 through 25; in particular lines 17 and

18, which states that the processor 105 "imparts to three dimensional data the parallax

information corresponding to angle α ").

TCB/RWD/slb

device, and in the case of claim 4, a single pickup device, and an associated display unit. Claims 1 and 4 originally recited "a three dimensional image creation portion providing said image with parallax information to create a three dimensional image." Although Shirakura does appear to disclose a plurality of original image obtained by picking up an object from different observation

Claims 1 and 4 in particular are directed to mobile equipment comprising a pickup

a pickup device. The Office Action admits that Shirakura does not teach the claimed pickup

points (col. 1, lines 21-24), Shirakura does not appear to disclose a mobile equipment comprising

device, and instead relies on Waibel for making up for the deficiency.

Applicants submit that Shirakura does not teach a three dimensional image creation portion for providing a picked up image with parallax information. However, it appears that this claimed feature has not been interpreted as intended by Applicants.

The Office Action relies on Figure 7 of Shirakura for showing the claimed three dimensional image creation portion and display unit. The Office Action also relies on a statement that.

"when this holographic stereogram is visually observed by an operator, twodimensional images respectively projected on the left and right eyes are slightly different from each other. As a result, the operator feels parallax so a threedimensional image is reproduced." (col. 1, lines 30-34)

The invention disclosed in Shirakura is an improved apparatus for "reproducing" an image that had been recorded as a hologram, or images recorded as a holograph stereogram. For

Page 12

Reply to Office Action of July 12, 2005

example, the image reproducing apparatus reproduces an image by irradiating illumination light

to a hologram or holographic stereogram ("Technical Field").

Thus, unlike Shirakura's holographic stereogram, the claimed mobile equipment of the

present invention starts with a picked up image without parallax information and provides the

picked up image with parallax information. As mentioned above, parallax information is

disclosed as being separately determined based on a distance to a subject input by an operation

key 204, based on an image's brightness, or intensity of a reflection.

Because of the apparent unintentional interpretation that the claims recite a picked up

image having parallax information is used to create a three dimensional image, the independent

claims 1, 4, and 13 have been amended to clarify the intended claim interpretation. In particular,

the claims have been amended to clarify that parallax information is separately determined, and

that the three dimensional image creation portion creates a three dimensional image by applying

the parallax information to the picked up image.

Applicants submit that Shirakura fails to teach or suggest at least the claimed mobile

equipment comprising "parallax information portion determining parallax information of said

subject" and "three dimensional image creation portion creating a three dimensional image by

applying said parallax information to said image."

Thus, Shirakura and Waibel, either alone or in combination, fail to teach each and every

claimed element. Applicants request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

TCB/RWD/slb

Page 13

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. § 103; Shirakura, Waibel, Aoki

Claims 7-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Shirakura and Waibel, and further in view of U.S. Application 2002/0054032 (Aoki).

Independent claim 13 has been amended in a manner comparable to claims 1 and 4. Applicant

traverses this rejection based on the claims as amended.

Aoki is relied on for teaching the step of cutting a human face out of a two dimensional

image. However, Aoki does not make up for the deficiencies mentioned above for Shirakura and

Waibel.

For reasons above related to claims 1 and 4, Applicant requests that the rejection be

reconsidered and withdrawn.

New Claims

Claims 14-29 have been added. Claims 14 through 16 cover further features of the

parallax information portion. Applicants submit that for the reasons above for claim 1, claims 14

through 16 are patentable as well.

Claims 17 and 29 recite details of the operation of the three dimensional image creation

portion in terms of generating three dimensional data from two dimensional data and converting

the three dimensional data into image data for the right eye and image data for the left eye.

Applicants submit that Shirakura's reproducing apparatus does not disclose the generation of

three dimensional data as claimed. Furthermore, Applicants submit that neither Shirakura nor

Waibel teach a display unit of the portable information system for displaying a three dimensional

Docket No.: 0033-0892P

Page 14

display. Dependent claims have been added that recite further features of the display unit of the

present invention. Applicants submit that the new claims are patentable over the prior art cited in

the Office Action.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Robert W. Downs (Reg. No.

48,222) at the telephone number of (703) 205-8000, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: October 7, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Terrell C. Birch

Registration No.: 19,382

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd., Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP